

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/609,019

Applicant(s)

COOPER ET AL.

Examiner

ANOOP SINGH

Art Unit

1632

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ANOOP SINGH.

(3) _____.

(2) Cynthia B. Rothschild.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 75, 84.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative was informed that claims 75 and 84 are allowable subject matter on December 16, 2008. Applicants' representative accepted the proposed amendments to claims that is presented in examiner's amendment. Applicants' representative also authorized the proposed examiner's amendments for the processing of allowance on December 16, 2008.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Valarie Bertoglio/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1632